

Fourier Analysis

Mar 8, 2022

Review

Thm (Weyl).

Let γ be an irrational number. Then the sequence

$$\left(\{n\gamma\} \right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

is equidistributed in $[0, 1]$.

Recall that a sequence $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset [0, 1]$ is said to be equidistributed in $[0, 1]$ if for any $(a, b) \subset [0, 1]$,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \# \left\{ 1 \leq n \leq N : x_n \in (a, b) \right\} = b - a.$$

More generally, Weyl proved the following result:

Thm (Weyl's criterion) Let $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence with $x_n \in [0, 1]$. Then $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is equidistributed in $[0, 1]$ if and only if

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N e^{2\pi i k \cdot x_n} = 0 \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$$

§ 4.4 A continuous but nowhere differentiable function on \mathbb{R} .

In 1861, Riemann conjectured that

$$R(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(2^n x)}{2^n}$$

is cts but nowhere differentiable on \mathbb{R} .

In 1916, Hardy proved that

$R(x)$ is not diff if $\frac{x}{\pi}$ is irrational.

In 1969, Gever proved that

$R(x)$ is diff $\Leftrightarrow \frac{x}{\pi} = \frac{p}{q}$ where
 p, q are odd numbers.

In 1872, Weierstrass constructed the first example of cts but nowhere differentiable function:

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b^n \cos(a^n x),$$

where

$$a > 1, \quad 0 < b < 1$$

$$\text{such that } ab > 1 + \frac{3\pi}{2}.$$

Remark: People nowadays know that the condition

$$ab > 1 + \frac{3\pi}{2} \quad \text{can be weaken} \xrightarrow{\text{to}} ab > 1.$$

Here we proved a special version of Weierstrass' result.

Thm 1. Let $0 < d < 1$. Define

$$f_d(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-nd} \cdot e^{i 2^n x}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then f_d is cts but nowhere diff on \mathbb{R} .

(notice that $\overset{\wedge}{f_d}(m) \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow m = 2^n$ for some integer $n \geq 0$)

Idea: Let $g \in \mathcal{R}(-\pi, \pi]$ (i.e. g is integrable on $[-\pi, \pi]$).

We consider the so-called delayed mean of g ,

$$\Delta_N(g)(x) = 2 \cdot \sigma_{2N}(g)(x) - \sigma_N(g)(x),$$

where

$$\sigma_N(g)(x) = \sum_{n=-N}^N \left(1 - \frac{|n|}{N}\right) \widehat{g}(n) e^{inx}$$

(N -th Cesaro Mean of g)

By a direct calculation,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_N(g)(x) &= 2 \sigma_{2N}(g)(x) - \sigma_N(g)(x) \\ &= 2 \cdot \sum_{|n| \leq 2N} \left(1 - \frac{|n|}{2N}\right) \widehat{g}(n) e^{inx} \\ &\quad - \sum_{|n| \leq N} \left(1 - \frac{|n|}{N}\right) \widehat{g}(n) e^{inx} \\ &= \sum_{n=-N}^N \widehat{g}(n) e^{inx} + 2 \sum_{N < |n| < 2N} \left(1 - \frac{|n|}{2N}\right) \widehat{g}(n) e^{inx} \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider $\Delta_N(f_\alpha)(x)$

- Observe that if $N = 2^m$ then

$$\Delta_N(f_\alpha)(x) = S_N(f_\alpha)(x)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^m 2^{-nd} e^{iz^n x}.$$

- Moreover if $N = 2^m$ then

$$\Delta_{2N}(f_\alpha)(x) - \Delta_N(f_\alpha)(x)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{m+1} 2^{-nd} e^{iz^n x} - \sum_{n=0}^m 2^{-nd} e^{iz^n x}$$

$$= 2^{-(m+1)d} e^{iz^{m+1} x}.$$

Prop 3. Let $g \in \mathcal{R}([\pi, \pi])$. Suppose g is differentiable at x_0 . Then

$$|\delta_N(g)'(x_0)| \leq C \cdot \log N,$$

where C is a constant.

We first use Prop 3 to prove Thm 1

Proof of Thm 1: Suppose on the contrary that f_α is diff at one point x_0 .

Then

$$\Delta_N(f_\alpha)'(x_0) = 2\delta_{2N}(f_\alpha)'(x_0) - \delta_N(f_\alpha)'(x_0)$$

$$|\Delta_N(f_\alpha)'(x_0)| \leq 2|\delta_{2N}(f_\alpha)'(x_0)| + |\delta_N(f_\alpha)'(x_0)|$$

$$\leq 2 \cdot C \cdot \log(2N) + C \cdot \log N$$

$$\leq \tilde{C} \log N.$$



Taking $N = 2^m$,

$$\Delta_{2N}(f_\alpha)(x) - \Delta_N f_\alpha(x) = 2^{-(m+1)\alpha} \cdot e^{i 2^{m+1} x}$$

Then

$$\Delta_{2N}(f_\alpha)'(x_0) - \Delta_N f_\alpha'(x_0) = i 2^{(m+1)(1-\alpha)} \cdot e^{i 2^{m+1} x}$$

Hence

$$|\Delta_{2N}(f_\alpha)'(x_0) - \Delta_N f_\alpha'(x_0)| = 2^{(m+1)(1-\alpha)}. \quad (**)$$

However by (*),

$$|\Delta_{2N}(f_\alpha)'(x_0)| \leq \tilde{C} \cdot \log(2N) = \tilde{C} \cdot (m+1)$$

$$|\Delta_N(f_\alpha)'(x_0)| \leq \tilde{C} \cdot \log(N) = \tilde{C} \cdot m$$

Hence

$$|\Delta_{2N}(f_\alpha)'(x_0) - \Delta_N f_\alpha'(x_0)| \leq 2\tilde{C}m + \tilde{C}.$$

It leads to a contradiction with (**). \square

In the end we prove Prop 3.

Lemma 4.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } F_N(x) &= \sum_{|n| \leq N} \left(1 - \frac{|n|}{N}\right) e^{inx} \\ &= \frac{\sin^2\left(\frac{N}{2}x\right)}{N \sin\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then \exists a constant $A > 0$ such that

$$|F'_N(x)| \leq AN^2, \quad |F_N(x)| \leq \frac{A}{x^2} \quad (***)$$

for all $x \in [-\pi, \pi]$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} F_N(x) &= \sum_{n=-N}^N \left(1 - \frac{|n|}{N}\right) e^{inx} \\ F'_N(x) &= \sum_{n=-N}^N \left(1 - \frac{|n|}{N}\right) in e^{inx} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hence } |F'_N(x)| &\leq \sum_{n=-N}^N \left(1 - \frac{|n|}{N}\right) |n| \\ &\leq (2N+1)N \leq 4N^2. \end{aligned}$$

To see the other upper bound, notice

$$F_N(x) = \frac{\sin^2(\frac{N}{2}x)}{N \sin^2(\frac{x}{2})}.$$

So

$$F'_N(x) = \frac{\sin(\frac{N}{2}x) \cos(\frac{N}{2}x)}{\sin^2(\frac{x}{2})} - \frac{\sin^2(\frac{N}{2}x) \cdot \cos(\frac{x}{2})}{N \sin^3(\frac{x}{2})}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}|F'_N(x)| &\leq \frac{1}{\sin^2(\frac{x}{2})} + \frac{|\sin(\frac{N}{2}x)| \cdot |\sin(\frac{N}{2}x)| |\cos(\frac{x}{2})|}{N |\sin^3(\frac{x}{2})|} \\&\leq \frac{1}{\sin^2(\frac{x}{2})} + \frac{|\frac{N}{2}x|}{N |\sin^3(\frac{x}{2})|} \quad (\text{using } |\sin \alpha| \leq |\alpha|) \\&\leq A \cdot \frac{1}{x^2} \quad (\text{using } \frac{|\sin \alpha|}{|\alpha|} \geq \text{const} > 0 \text{ on } [-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}])\end{aligned}$$

Prop 3. Let $g \in \mathcal{R}(-\pi, \pi]$. Suppose g is differentiable at x_0 . Then

$$|\sigma_N(g)'(x_0)| \leq C \cdot \log N,$$

where C is a constant.

Pf. Notice that

$$\sigma_N(g)(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(y) F_N(x-y) dy.$$

Taking derivative at x_0 gives

$$\sigma_N(g)'(x_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(y) \cdot F_N'(x_0-y) dy$$

(because F_N is smooth)

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(x_0-y) F_N'(y) dy.$$

Notice that $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} F_N'(y) dy = 0$ (since F_N is 2π periodic).

As a consequence

$$\sigma_N(g)'(x_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (g(x_0-y) - g(x_0)) F_N'(y) dy$$

Then

$$|\sigma_N(g)'(x_0)| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |g(x_0-y) - g(x_0)| |F_N'(y)| dy$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} C \cdot |y| \cdot |F_N'(y)| dy$$

(Since g is diff at x_0 , so

$$\frac{g(x_0-y) - g(x_0)}{y} \text{ is unif bdd on } [-\pi, \pi]$$

Now

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |y| |F_N'(y)| dy$$

$$= \int_{|y| < \frac{1}{N}} |y| |F_N'(y)| dy + \int_{\frac{1}{N} \leq |y| < \pi} |y| |F_N'(y)| dy$$

But

$$\int_{|y| < \frac{1}{N}} |y| |F_N'(y)| dy \leq \int_{|y| \leq \frac{1}{N}} \frac{1}{N} \cdot A N^2 \cdot dy = 2A.$$

$$\int_{\frac{1}{N} < |y| < \pi} |y| |F_N'(y)| dy \leq \int_{\frac{1}{N} < |y| < \pi} |y| \cdot \frac{A}{|y|^2} dy$$

$$= \int_{\frac{1}{N} < |y| < \pi} \frac{A}{|y|} dy$$

$$= 2A \cdot \log |y| \Big|_{\frac{1}{N}}^{\pi}$$

$$= 2A (\log N + \log \pi)$$

Hence

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |y| |F_N'(y)| dy \leq \hat{A} (\log N).$$

So

$$|\sigma_N(g)'(x_0)| \leq \hat{A} \log N. \quad \blacksquare.$$